UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,613	05/25/2005	Guo-Wei Qin	SERVIER 461 PCT	2275
	7590 08/15/200 HUESCHEN AND SA	EXAMINER		
SEVENTH FLOOR, KALAMAZOO BUILDING 107 WEST MICHIGAN AVENUE KALAMAZOO, MI 49007			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/536	,613	QIN ET AL.			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit			
		Charan	jit S. Aulakh	1625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	nddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
•	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal mati	•	ne merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 31-56,59 and 61-63 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) 55,56,59,62 and 63 is/are a Claim(s) 31-33,36,38,40,41,44,52 and Claim(s) 34,35,37,39,42,43,45-51,50 Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object to the company of the compan	re withdrawn from allowed. nd 54 is/are rejecte 3 and 61 is/are objection and/or election e Examiner. a) accepted or other and the drawing(s	consideration. d. ected to. n requirement. b) objected to s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1 121(d)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/7/08</u> .	PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to paper filed on May 7, 2008, the applicants have amended claim 55.

2. Claims 31-56, 59 and 61-63 are pending in the application.

Art Unit: 1625

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on May 7, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding obviousness rejection. The examiner agrees with the applicant's arguments regarding anticipation rejection. In regard to obviousness rejection, the examiner agrees with the applicants arguments on page 3 that one skilled in the art would not have predicted the memory-improving activity of instant compounds and therefore, claims 55, 56, 59 and 62 were allowed even in the last office action. However, the instant claims 31-33, 36, 38, 40, 41, 44, 52 and 54 are directed to compounds or pharmaceutical composition containing these compounds and therefore, are not directed to a method of improving memory. The cited reference does demonstrate the antinociceptive activity of compound 7 (sinomenine derivative, see first paragraph under results and discussion as well as table 1) and therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare the instant sinomenine derivatives having antinociceptive activity with reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

- 4. Rejection of claims 31-33, 36, 38, 40, 41, 44, 52 and 54 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 5. Objection of claims 34, 35, 37, 39, 42, 43, 45-51, 53 and 61 for being dependent upon rejected claims is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. Claims 55, 56, 59, 62 and 63 are allowed.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1625

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571)272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charanjit S. Aulakh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625